

1 KAREN P. HEWITT  
United States Attorney  
2 DANIEL E. BUTCHER  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
3 California State Bar No. 144624  
Federal Office Building  
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293  
San Diego, California 92101-8893  
5 Telephone: (619) 557-5927  
[daniel.butcher@usdoj.gov](mailto:daniel.butcher@usdoj.gov)

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 United States of America

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 AARON GONZALEZ-RECARDEZ,

14 Defendant.

) Criminal Case No. 08CR1260-JLS  
)

) DATE: June 13, 2008

) TIME: 1:30 p.m.

) JUDGE: Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

) CRTRM: 6

) **UNITED STATES' RESPONSE AND  
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION TO:**

) **1) COMPEL DISCOVERY; AND**

) **2) FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER  
MOTIONS**

) **TOGETHER WITH STATEMENT OF  
FACTS AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS  
AND AUTHORITIES, AND THE UNITED  
STATES' MOTION FOR RECIPROCAL  
DISCOVERY**  
)

21 COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel,  
22 Karen P. Hewitt, United States Attorney, and Daniel E. Butcher, Assistant U.S. Attorney, and hereby  
23 files its Response and Opposition to defendant's above-referenced motions, along with United States'  
24 Motion for Reciprocal Discovery. Said response is based upon the files and records of the case, together  
25 with the attached statement of facts and memorandum of points and authorities.

26 //

27 //

28 //

I.

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

On April 22, 2008, a federal grand jury returned an indictment charging defendant Aaron Gonzalez-Martinez with attempted entry after deportation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b), and with misuse of a passport in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1544. On April 22, 2008, the Defendant was arraigned on the Indictment and entered a plea of not guilty. The motion hearing is scheduled for June 13, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.

II

**STATEMENT OF THE FACTS**

On April 2, 2008, at approximately 8:45 a.m., defendant applied for admission to the United States through a pedestrian land at the San Ysidro Port of Entry. Defendant presented a United States passport in the name of another person, and claimed United States citizenship. The inspector referred defendant to secondary inspection after a query revealed that the passport was stolen.

At secondary, defendant was informed that he was an imposter to the passport. Defendant then admitted his true name. Further investigation revealed that defendant has a serious criminal record in the United States, including assault, malicious mischief, domestic violence, and possessing drugs without a prescription. Defendant was advised of his rights and invoked his right to remain silent.

III.

**ARGUMENT**

A. **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY**

The defendant has filed a request for discovery and to preserve the evidence in this case. The United States already has provided substantial discovery in this case, and will continue to comply with its discovery obligations. The following responds to defendant's specific discovery requests.

**(1) Defendant's Statements.** The United States will comply with Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(A) and 16(a)(1)(B). The United States has produced all of defendant's statements that are known to the undersigned Assistant U.S. Attorney at this date. If the United States discovers additional oral or written statements that require disclosure under Rule 16(a)(1)(A) or Rule 16(a)(1)(B), such statements will be provided to Defendant.

1       **(2) Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes.** As discussed, the United States will comply  
 2 with Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(A) and 16(a)(1)(B). Rule 16, however, “does not  
 3 authorize the discovery or inspection of reports, memoranda, or other internal government documents  
 4 made by an attorney for the government or other government agent in connection with investigating or  
 5 prosecuting the case. Nor does [Rule 16] authorize the discovery or inspection of statements made by  
 6 prospective government witnesses except as provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3500.” Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(2).

7       **(3) Brady Material.** The United States has and will continue to perform its duty under Brady  
 8 v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) to disclose material exculpatory information or evidence favorable to  
 9 defendant where such evidence is material to guilt or punishment. The United States recognizes that  
 10 its obligation under Brady covers not only exculpatory evidence, but also evidence that could be used  
 11 to impeach witnesses who testify on behalf of the United States. See Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S.  
 12 150, 154 (1972); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 676-77 (1985).

13       Brady does not, however, require that the United States open its files for discovery. See United  
 14 States v. Henke, 222 F.3d 633, 642-44 (9th Cir. 2000) (per curiam). Under Brady, the United States is  
 15 not required to provide: (1) neutral, irrelevant, speculative, or inculpatory evidence (see United States  
 16 v. Smith, 282 F.3d 758, 770 (9th Cir. 2002)); (2) evidence available to the defendant from other sources  
 17 (see United States v. Bracy, 67 F.3d 1421, 1428-29 (9th Cir. 1995)); (3) evidence that the defendant  
 18 already possesses (see United States v. Mikaelian, 168 F.3d 380-389-90 (9th Cir. 1999) amended by 180  
 19 F.3d 1091 (9th Cir. 1999)); or (4) evidence that the United States Attorney could not reasonably be  
 20 imputed to have knowledge or control over. See United States v. Hanson, 262 F.3d 1217, 1234-35 (11th  
 21 Cir. 2001).

22       **(4) Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under the Guidelines.** The  
 23 United States is not obligated under Brady, and its progeny to furnish a defendant with information  
 24 which he already knows. United States v. Taylor, 802 F.2d 1108, 1118 n.5 (9th Cir. 1986). Brady is  
 25 a rule of disclosure, and therefore, there can be no violation of Brady if the evidence is already known  
 26 to the defendant. In such case, the United States has not suppressed the evidence and consequently has  
 27 no Brady obligation. See United States v. Gaggi, 811 F.2d 47, 59 (2d Cir. 1987).

1 But even assuming Defendant does not already possess the information about factors which  
 2 might affect his guideline range, the United States would not be required to provide information bearing  
 3 on Defendant's mitigation of punishment until after Defendant's conviction or plea of guilty and prior  
 4 to his sentencing date. See United States v. Juvenile Male, 864 F.2d 641, 647 (9th Cir. 1988) ("No  
 5 [Brady] violation occurs if the evidence is disclosed to the defendant at a time when the disclosure  
 6 remains in value."). Accordingly, Defendant's demand for this information is premature.

7 **(5) Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under 18 U.S.C. § 3553.**

8 The United States incorporates by reference response (4) above.

9 **(6) The Defendant's Prior Record.** The United States will comply with Fed. R. Crim. P.  
 10 16(a)(1)(D).

11 **(7) Any Proposed Rule 404(b) Evidence.** The United States has already provided Defendant  
 12 with information regarding Defendant's known prior criminal offenses. The United States will disclose  
 13 in sufficient time advance of trial, the general nature of any "other bad acts" evidence that the United  
 14 States intends to introduce at trial pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 404(b). To the extent possible, the United  
 15 States will provide the Rule 404(b) evidence to Defendant within two weeks prior to trial. The United  
 16 States will also provide notice of all impeachment evidence by prior criminal convictions as required  
 17 by Fed. R. Evid. 609.

18 **(8) Evidence Seized.** The United States will comply with Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E).

19 **(9) Request for Preservation of Evidence.** The United States will preserve evidence "that  
 20 might be expected to play a significant role in the suspect's defense." California v. Trombetta, 467 U.S.  
 21 479, 488 (1984). To require preservation by the United States, such evidence must (1) "possess an  
 22 exculpatory value that was apparent before the evidence was destroyed," and (2) "be of such a nature  
 23 that the defendant would be unable to obtain comparable evidence by other reasonably available means."  
 24 Id. at 489; see also Cooper v. Calderon, 255 F.3d 1104, 1113-14 (9th Cir. 2001).

25 The United States will make every effort to preserve evidence it deems to be relevant and  
 26 material to this case. Any failure to gather and preserve evidence, however, would not violate due  
 27 process absent bad faith by the United States that results in actual prejudice to the Defendant. See  
 28 Illinois v. Fisher, 540 U.S. 544 (2004); Arizona v. Youngblood, 488 U.S. 51, 57-58 (1988); United

1 States v. Rivera-Relle, 322 F.3d 670 (9th Cir. 2003); Downs v. Hoyt, 232 F.3d 1031, 1037-38 (9th Cir.  
2 2000).

3 (10) **Tangible Objects**. As previously discussed, the United States has complied and will  
4 continue to comply with Rule 16(a)(1)(E) in allowing Defendant an opportunity, upon reasonable notice,  
5 to examine, inspect, and copy all tangible objects that is within its possession, custody, or control, and  
6 that is either material to the preparation of Defendant's defense, or is intended for use by the United  
7 States as evidence during its case-in-chief at trial, or was obtained from or belongs to Defendant. The  
8 United States need not, however, produce rebuttal evidence in advance of trial. United States v. Givens,  
9 767 F.2d 574, 584 (9th Cir. 1984).

10 (11) **Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie**. The United States incorporates by reference response  
11 (3) above.

12 (12) **Impeachment Evidence**. The United States incorporates by reference response (3) above.

13 (13) **Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness**. The United States  
14 incorporates by reference response (3) above.

15 (14) **Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate**. The United  
16 States incorporates by reference response (3) above.

17 (15) **Witness Addresses**. The United States will provide defendant with the reports containing  
18 the names of the agents involved in the apprehension and interviews of defendant. A defendant in a  
19 non-capital case, however, has no right to discover the identity of prospective Government witnesses  
20 prior to trial. See Weatherford v. Bursey, 429 U.S. , 545, 559 (1977); United States v. Dishner, 974 F.2d  
21 1502, 1522 (9th Cir 1992), citing United States v. Steel, 759 F.2d 706, 709 (9th Cir. 1985); United States  
22 v. Hicks, 103 F.23d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 1996). Nevertheless, in its trial memorandum, the United States  
23 will provide Defendant with a list of all witnesses whom it intends to call in its case-in-chief, although  
24 delivery of such a witness list is not required. See United States v. Discher, 960 F.2d 870 (9th Cir.  
25 1992); United States v. Mills, 810 F.2d 907, 910 (9th Cir. 1987).

26 The United States objects to any request that the United States provide a list of every witness  
27 to the crimes charged who will not be called as a Government witness. "There is no statutory basis for  
28 granting such broad requests," and such a request "far exceed[s] the parameters of Rule 16(a)(1)(C)."

1 United States v. Yung, 97 F. Supp. 2d 24, 36 (D. D.C. 2000), quoting United States v. Boffa, 513 F.  
2 Supp. 444, 502 (D. Del. 1980).

3 **(16) Names of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant.** The United States incorporates by  
4 reference response (3) above.

5 **(17) Statements Relevant to the Defense.** The United States incorporates by reference response  
6 (3) above.

7 **(18) Jencks Act Material.** The Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, requires that, after a Government  
8 witness has testified on direct examination, the United States must give the Defendant any “statement”  
9 (as defined by the Jencks Act) in the Government's possession that was made by the witness relating to  
10 the subject matter to which the witness testified. 18 U.S.C. § 3500(b). A “statement” under the Jencks  
11 Act is (1) a written statement made by the witness and signed or otherwise adopted or approved by him,  
12 (2) a substantially verbatim, contemporaneously recorded transcription of the witness's oral statement,  
13 or (3) a statement by the witness before a grand jury. 18 U.S.C. § 3500(e). While the United States is  
14 only required to produce all Jencks Act material after the witness testifies, the United States plans to  
15 provide most (if not all) Jencks Act material well in advance of trial to avoid any needless delays.

16 **(19) Giglio Information.** The United States incorporates by reference response (3) above.

17 **(20) Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations.** The United States will comply with Fed.  
18 R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(F).

19 **(21) Henthorn Material.** The United States will comply with its obligations pursuant to  
20 United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991).

21 **(22) Informants and Cooperating Witnesses.** The United States will disclose the identity of  
22 informants where (1) the informant is a material witness, or (2) the informant’s testimony is crucial to  
23 the defense. Roviaro v. United States, 353 U.S. 53, 59 (1957). If there is a confidential informant  
24 involved in this case, the Court may, in some circumstances, be required to conduct an in-chambers  
25 inspection to determine whether disclosure of the informant’s identity is required under Roviaro. See  
26 United States v. Ramirez-Rangel, 103 F.3d 1501, 1508 (9th Cir. 1997). If the United States determines  
27 that there is a confidential informant somehow involved in this case, the United States will either  
28 disclose the identity of the informant or submit the informant’s identity to the Court for an in-chambers

1 inspection.

2 (23) **Expert Witnesses.** The United States will comply with Fed. Rule Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G).

3 (24) **Residual Request.** The United States will comply with all of its discovery obligations, but  
4 objects to the broad and unspecified nature of Defendant's residual discovery request.

5 C. UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY

6 1. Rule 16(b)

7 The defendant has invoked Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16 in his motion for discovery.  
8 The United States has voluntarily complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure  
9 16(a). Thus, the 16(b) provision of that rule, pertinent portions of which are cited below is applicable.

10 The United States hereby requests the defendant permit the United States to inspect, copy, and  
11 photograph any and all books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, or make copies of  
12 portions thereof, which are within the possession, custody, or control of the defendant and which he  
13 intends to introduce as evidence in his case-in-chief at trial.

14 The United States further requests that it be permitted to inspect and copy or photograph any  
15 results or reports of physical or mental examinations and of scientific tests or experiments made in  
16 connection with this case, which are in the possession or control of the defendant, which he intends to  
17 introduce as evidence-in-chief at the trial or which were prepared by a witness whom the defendant  
18 intends to call as a witness. The United States also requests that the Court make such orders as it deems  
19 necessary under Rules 16(d)(1) and (2) to insure that the United States receives the discovery to which  
20 it is entitled.

21 2. Rule 26.2

22 Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 26.2 requires the production of prior statements of all  
23 witnesses, except the defendant. The new rule thus provides for the reciprocal production of Jencks  
24 statements. The United States hereby requests that the defendant be ordered to supply all prior  
25 statements of defense witnesses by a reasonable date before trial to be set by the Court. This order  
26 should include any form these statements are memorialized in, including but not limited to, tape  
27 recordings, handwritten or typed notes, and reports.

IV.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, defendant's motions should be denied where indicated, and the United States' motion for reciprocal discovery granted.

DATED: June 6, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT  
United States Attorney

s/ Daniel E. Butcher  
DANIEL E. BUTCHER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America  
Email: [daniel.butcher@usdoj.gov](mailto:daniel.butcher@usdoj.gov)



1 KAREN P. HEWITT  
United States Attorney  
2 DANIEL E. BUTCHER  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
3 California State Bar No. 144624  
Federal Office Building  
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293  
San Diego, California 92101-8893  
5 Telephone: (619) 557-5927  
[daniel.butcher@usdoj.gov](mailto:daniel.butcher@usdoj.gov)

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 United States of America

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )

Case No. 08cr1260-JLS

11 Plaintiff, )

12 v. )

13 AARON GONZALEZ-RICARDEZ )

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

14 Defendant. )  
15

16 IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:

17 I, Daniel E. Butcher, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My  
business address is 880 Front Street, Room 6293, San Diego, California 92101-8893.

18 I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of United States' Response  
19 and Opposition to Defendant's Motion to: (1) Compel Discovery together with Statement of Facts and  
Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and Government's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery on the  
20 following party by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the District Court using its ECF  
System, which electronically notifies them.

21 1. William B. Burgener

22 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

23 Executed on May 14, 2008

24 s/ Daniel E. Butcher  
Daniel E. Butcher  
25  
26  
27  
28